Histone H2B ubiquitination and beyond
Regulation of nucleosome stability, chromatin dynamics and the trans-histone H3 methylation
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Regulation of Set1-COMPASS-mediated H3K4 methylation and Dot1-mediated H3K79 methylation by H2BK123 ubiquitination (H2Bub1) is an evolutionarily conserved trans-histone crosstalk mechanism. How H2Bub1 impacts chromatin structure and affects Set1-COMPASS/Dot1 functions has not been fully defined. Ubiquitin was proposed to bind proteins to physically bridge H2Bub1 with Set1-COMPASS/Dot1. Alternatively, the bulky ubiquitin was thought to be a “wedge” that loosens the nucleosome for factor access. Contrary to the latter possibility, recent discoveries provide evidence for nucleosome stabilization by H2Bub1 via preventing the constant H2A-H2B eviction. Recent data has also uncovered a “docking-site” on H2B for Set1-COMPASS. Collectively, these findings invoke a model, where ubiquitin acts as a “glue” to bind the nucleosome together for supporting Set1-COMPASS/Dot1 functions. This review provides an overview of these novel findings. Additionally, how H2Bub1 and its deubiquitination might alter the chromatin dynamics during transcription is discussed. Possible models for nucleosome stabilization by ubiquitin are also provided.

Introduction
Eukaryotic DNA is compressed within the nucleus by virtue of its tight packaging into chromatin, which is made up of repeating units called nucleosomes. Each nucleosome is comprised of ~147 bp of DNA wrapped around a histone octamer, containing a tetramer core of H3-H4 dimers flanked by two H2A-H2B dimers. Post-translational histone modification is one of the mechanisms employed by cellular processes to remodel chromatin and gain access to the underlying DNA template. Histones undergo a wide variety of reversible covalent modifications, including arginine (R) methylation, serine/threonine phosphorylation and several types of modifications on their lysine (K) residues (acyetylation, methylation, ubiquitination, sumoylation and ADP-ribosylation). Histone modifications act as binding sites for specialized recognition modules on effector proteins, which either directly or indirectly alter the nucleosome or chromatin structure. Alternatively, some modifications can directly affect the chromatin structure by charge neutralization (e.g., H4K16 acetylation). In a complex regulatory network, termed as a “crosstalk”, modification on one histone can either support or prevent the establishment of another modification within the same histone (in cis) or at a distant site on a different histone (in trans). In budding yeast, H3K4 methylation is affected in cis by H3R2 methylation and in a trans-histone crosstalk, both H3K4 and -K79 methylation are regulated by H2BK123 ubiquitination (H2Bub1) (Fig. 1). In recent years, this trans-histone pathway has garnered much attention, since both H3K4 and -K79 methylation have been implicated to play a role in many nuclear processes, such as transcription (activation/repression), replication, recombination and repair. Considerable information from different...
model systems has been gathered regarding the catalytic enzymes and factors that regulate the addition and removal of these modifications.\textsuperscript{12,13,16} However, the fundamental mechanism by which H2Bub1 regulates the addition and removal of these modifications remains elusive. Although H2B ubiquitination was discovered some 30 years ago,\textsuperscript{17} whether conjugation of ubiquitin onto H2B has any effect on nucleosome structure and chromatin organization is not understood.\textsuperscript{27} Given that addition of ubiquitin is a relatively bulky moiety at the H2B C-terminal region and since H2Bub1 is closely associated with transcriptionally active regions, H2Bub1 was also postulated to act as a “wedge” to non-specifically open up the chromatin and allow access to the histone modifying enzymes or their regulatory factors.\textsuperscript{21,28}

The Trans-Histone Crosstalk Between H2Bub1 and H3K4/-K79 Methylation

In budding yeast, the ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme Rad6 and the E3-ligase Bre1 covalently attach ubiquitin onto K123 in H2B.\textsuperscript{12,13,16} H3K4 and -K79 methylation can exist in mono- (me1), di- (me2) or tri-methylated (me3) state and are catalyzed by methyltransferases Set1 and Dot1, respectively. While Dot1 is a stand-alone enzyme, Set1 associates with regulatory subunits to form a multi-protein complex (COMPASS)\textsuperscript{4,13} (Fig. 1). Yeast mutants lacking H2Bub1 (\textit{rad6A}, \textit{bre1A} or ubiquitination-site mutant, \textit{H2B-K123R}) revealed the presence of a trans-histone crosstalk pathway, since H3K4me2, H3K4me3 and H3K79me3 are abolished in the absence of H2Bub1.\textsuperscript{12,13,16} Additionally, H3K4me1 and H3K79me2 are also severely reduced. In contrast, increase in H2Bub1 levels, due to the loss of H2Bub1-specific deubiquitinases (Ubp8 and Ubp10), causes an increase in H3K4 and -K79 methylation.\textsuperscript{18-20}

Understanding the Language of the Trans-Histone Crosstalk

Models proposed to explain the mechanism of H2Bub1-mediated H3K4 and -K79 methylation include a possibility, where the conjugated ubiquitin acts as a “bridge” to directly recruit the methyltransferases.\textsuperscript{21} Since Set1 and Dot1 associate with chromatin even in the absence of H2Bub1,\textsuperscript{22,23} their recruitment is not the primary function of H2Bub1. Alternatively, H2Bub1 might act as a “bridge” to recruit a regulatory factor to mediate the crosstalk. Swd2, a Set1-COMPASS component, has been implicated as a key link between H2Bub1 and H3K4 methylation by two independent studies. In the first study, H2Bub1 is required for the association of Swd2 with Set1-COMPASS, and Swd2 was proposed to stimulate Set1 activity via a conformational change resulting from its direct or indirect interaction with H2Bub1.\textsuperscript{24} Additionally, Swd2 was also shown to interact with Dot1 and affect H3K79 methylation. In striking contrast, a second study suggested that the Rad6/Bre1-mediated H2Bub1 leads to Swd2 ubiquitination and the subsequent recruitment of Spp1 (a COMPASS subunit required for H3K4me3) stimulates the catalytic activity of Set1.\textsuperscript{25} However, Spp1 interacts with chromatin independent of H2Bub1.\textsuperscript{26} Therefore, given the conflicting findings of these two studies, precisely how Swd2 participates in the crosstalk remains to be ascertained. The 19S regulatory complex of the proteasome has also been implicated to mediate the trans-histone crosstalk, but the underlying mechanism is not understood.\textsuperscript{27} Given that addition of ubiquitin is a relatively bulky modification and since H2Bub1 is closely associated with transcriptionally active regions, H2Bub1 was also postulated to act as a “wedge” to non-specifically open up the chromatin and allow access to the histone modifying enzymes or their regulatory factors.\textsuperscript{21,28}

Holding the Fort: H2Bub1 and Nucleosome Stability

We tested the “Wedge” model by substituting ubiquitin with a bulkier SUMO moiety at the H2B C-terminal region using yeast cells expressing a chimeric H2B, which was engineered with consensus sumoylation sites in place of the site of ubiquitination. Although the occupancy and distribution of the induced H2B...
Intra-nucleosomal interactions. Indeed, histone amounts were increased on native chromatin in the presence of high levels of H2Bub1, due to the generally enhanced nucleosome stability.29 Interestingly, the ChIP assays also revealed that H2Bub1 stabilizes the nucleosome primarily by affecting H2A-H2B, since only H2B but not H3 levels are increased over active and repressed genes upon increase in H2Bub1 levels.29

Biochemical and structural studies of the yeast nucleosome have revealed that it is intrinsically less stable than those from other eukaryotes34,35 (Fig. 2). In addition, H2A-H2B is constantly evicted from and reassembled into chromatin in yeast,36,37 even in the absence of DNA replication and transcription.38 Therefore, based on these studies and our findings, we propose that the conjugation of ubiquitin onto H2B prevents H2A-H2B eviction and stabilizes the nucleosome, and removal of the conjugated ubiquitin by deubiquitinases causes nucleosome destabilization.29 This finding suggested that, contrary to its supposed role in opening up the chromatin, presence of H2Bub1 makes the chromatin compact and in fact, it is the absence of H2Bub1 that leads to intra-nucleosomal interactions. Indeed, histone amounts were increased on native chromatin in the presence of high levels of H2Bub1, due to the generally enhanced nucleosome stability.29 Interestingly, the ChIP assays also revealed that H2Bub1 stabilizes the nucleosome primarily by affecting H2A-H2B, since only H2B but not H3 levels are increased over active and repressed genes upon increase in H2Bub1 levels.29

Figure 2. Model for the dynamic changes in yeast nucleosome stability mediated by H2B ubiquitination and deubiquitination. The innate weak intra-nucleosomal interactions and the constant H2A-H2B eviction lead to an unstable yeast nucleosome. Conjugation of ubiquitin stabilizes the nucleosome by negating these destabilizing events. On the contrary, removal of ubiquitin by deubiquitination promotes nucleosome instability. Stable nucleosomes might allow or restrict factor binding to chromatin. By preventing H2A-H2B eviction, H2Bub1 retains the binding surfaces for Set1-COMPASS in H2A and H2B on chromatin. The composite “docking-site” for Set1-COMPASS on the nucleosome is predicted to be made up of the three residues in H2A (E65, N69 and D73) and the two experimentally confirmed residues in the H2B C-terminal helix (R119 and T122). Modified from Chandrasekharan et al.39
addition, Rad6 and histone deacetylase Rpd3 were identified as negative regulators of transcription in a bypass suppression screen; since the deletion of RAD6 or RPD3 could rescue the lethality of BUR1 deletion [Bur1, a cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) required for efficient transcription elongation by RNA polymerase II (RNA Pol II)]. Thus, a scenario can be envisaged, wherein histone deubiquitination and acetylation might function in tandem to counter the nucleosome stabilized by histone ubiquitination and deacetylation during transcription.

Modulation of Chromatin Dynamics by H2Bub1 and its Deubiquitination During Transcription

Maintaining the dynamic equilibrium between ubiquitination and deubiquitination is also critical for cell growth. Mutations that abolish H2Bub1 (bre1Δ, H2B-K123R) or lead to high levels of H2Bub1 (ubp8Δubp10Δ) adversely affect cell growth. Microarray-based studies have shown that both the loss and excessive levels of H2Bub1 can reduce the transcript levels of several genes. Additionally, these changes in H2Bub1 levels can also result in de-repression and/or poor activation of inducible genes. Therefore, H2Bub1 plays both positive and negative roles in the regulation of gene transcription. This leads to the question, how does the nucleosome stabilization or destabilization mediated by H2Bub1 or its deubiquitination exert an effect on gene expression?

H2Bub1 and Transcriptional Repression

H2Bub1 plays a role in the repression of inducible genes both in yeast and in mammals. In yeast, absence of H2Bub1 (rad6Δ or H2B-K123R) causes de-repressed transcription of ARG1 under non-inducing condition with a concomitant increase in TBP binding to the promoter. In contrast, ARG1 transcript levels are reduced by elevated levels of H2Bub1 in ubp8Δubp10Δ. Also, the basal expression of several repressed/inducible genes (PHO5, GAL1 and ADH2) is reduced due to increased H2Bub1 levels (ubp8Δ and/or ubp10Δ). Correlating well with these transcript analyses, our ChIP assays revealed the presence of H2Bub1 on the ARG1 promoter even under non-inducing condition and the H2B levels on ARG1 TATA region are enhanced when H2Bub1 levels are increased (ubp8Δ and/or ubp10Δ). Similar results were obtained for the well-characterized, positioned nucleosomes that encompass TATA boxes and/or upstream activating sequences present in the promoters of PHO5, GAL10 and ADH2. H2A-H2B is constantly evicted from and reasssembled into the chromatin of repressed genes even in the absence of DNA replication. This eviction might provide a window-of-opportunity for TBP or activators to gain access to their binding sites and thereby, allowing basal transcription. Therefore, it can be envisaged that Rad6/Bre1-mediated H2Bub1 might repress the basal transcription by stabilizing the nucleosome positioned over the promoter region to prevent TBP or activator binding (Fig 3A). Given that SAGA complex is involved in the regulation of these inducible genes, Ubp8-mediated deubiquitination might then destabilize the nucleosomes to allow TBP binding or to promote RNA Pol II progression following activation (Fig 3A). Human Bre1 (hBre1/RNF20) is involved in the regulation of epidermal growth factor (EGF) inducible genes and in the constitutive suppression of a subset of genes that includes proto-oncogenes (c-myc and c-fos). The RNF20-suppressed genes contain high levels of H2Bub1, H3K4me3, H3K9/14ac (“marks” of transcription) and RNA Pol II occupancy, and are significantly enriched in compact chromatin (Fig 3B). These observations have led Shema et al. (2008) to propose that even though the RNF20-suppressed genes are in a transcriptional “on” state, the unfavorable chromatin structure, presumably mediated by H2Bub1, likely hinders transcription leading to polymerase pausing. The human homolog of yeast Ubp8 (USP22 in human SAGA) is also involved in the regulation of inducible genes, as seen from its role in androgen receptor- and estrogen receptor-mediated trans-activation. Collectively, based on these observations, Espinosa (2008) proposed a model, wherein USP22 might deubiquitinate H2Bub1 in RNF20-suppressed genes including the EGF-inducible genes, and promote RNA Pol II progression. Based on our findings, it is tempting to further speculate that H2Bub1 stabilizes the nucleosomes in RNF20-suppressed genes to maintain the “closed”/compact chromatin, which results in a paused RNA Pol II. Subsequently, in the presence of the ligand, deubiquitination of H2Bub1 by USP22 might destabilize the nucleosome allowing the promoter escape or progression of RNA Pol II into the elongation phase (Fig 3B).

H2Bub1 and Transcriptional Elongation

Both Rad6/Bre1 and Ubp8 are recruited to the coding regions of genes. Moreover, their mutations confer slow growth in the presence of 6-azauracil (indicative of transcriptional elongation defects) and reduce the expression of several subsets of genes. Therefore, maintenance of the equilibrium between the multiple rounds of ubiquitination and deubiquitination of H2B is critical for the efficient progression of transcription. Increased H2Bub1 levels in ubp8Δ causes an increase in H2B levels across the transcribed regions, but not in the promoters of constitutively expressed genes, suggesting that nucleosome stabilization and destabilization also occurs during transcription elongation. H2Bub1 also affects transcription elongation and chromatin architecture by regulating the nucleosome assembly/disassembly functions of the histone chaperone FACT (comprised of Spt16 and Pob3). A stable nucleosome would pose a formidable barrier to RNA Pol II progression. This raises the question, how does H2Bub1 stabilize nucleosomes and yet, facilitates transcription elongation?

Nucleosomes immediately adjacent to the transcribing RNA Pol II might be inherently highly unstable, as they undergo constant histone eviction and deposition, and are subjected to the torsional stress imposed by the ongoing transcription. The following model can be proposed for the function of H2Bub1 during elongation (Fig 4): Rad6/Bre1-mediated H2Bub1 might initially
H2Bub1 and H2Bub1 levels are reduced in an Spt16 mutant with defective nucleosome reassembly function. Loss of H2Bub1 and/or the reduced histone deposition by the defective Spt16 also result in cryptic transcription initiation from within the coding regions. Collectively, these findings suggest an important role for H2Bub1-mediated nucleosome stabilization in maintaining the chromatin structure for the fidelity of transcription.

Two H2A-H2B dimers occur in a step-wise fashion. Subsequently, during the Spt6 and Spt16-mediated nucleosome reassembly in the wake of RNA Pol II progression, H2Bub1 stabilizes the newly deposited nucleosomes. This step-wise, cyclical event of nucleosome destabilization-stabilization and nucleosome disassembly-assembly is supported by the observation that chromatin-bound Spt16 levels are reduced in the absence of H2Bub1 and H2Bub1 levels are reduced in an Spt16 mutant with defective nucleosome reassembly function. Loss of H2Bub1 and/or the reduced histone deposition by the defective Spt16 also result in cryptic transcription initiation from within the coding regions. Collectively, these findings suggest an important role for H2Bub1-mediated nucleosome stabilization in maintaining the chromatin structure for the fidelity of transcription.

Figure 3. Model for the regulation of inducible gene expression by H2B ubiquitination and deubiquitination. (A) In budding yeast, conjugation of ubiquitin prevents H2A-H2B eviction and stabilizes the nucleosome positioned over the promoters of inducible genes in repressed state. The stable nucleosome might restrict the binding of activators (Act) and/or the TATA binding protein (TBP) to their cognate sites and prevents basal transcription. Following induction, DNA-bound activators recruit the SAGA complex containing the deubiquitinase Ubp8. Removal of the conjugated ubiquitin by Ubp8 causes nucleosome instability and promotes nucleosome disassembly by histone chaperones and/or other remodeling machineries. In turn, this allows the binding of TBP and recruitment of RNA Pol II for activated transcription. UAS, upstream activating sequence; TATA, the TATA box; INR, initiator sequence. (B) In mammals, inducible genes repressed by the E3 ligase RNF20 contain high levels of H2Bub1 and a poised RNA Pol II, and are enriched in the "marks" of active transcription [H3K9/14 acetylation (Ac, yellow circle) and H3K4me3 (cyan flag)]. H2Bub1 occupancy is high immediately downstream of the transcription start site and RNF20-repressed genes are in "closed"/compact chromatin configuration. Therefore, H2Bub1 mediated by RNF20 and possible E2-conjugating enzymes (UbcH6 or human homolog of Rad6, HR6A/B) might stabilize the nucleosome (PDB: 1KX5) and block transcription. USP22, the deubiquitinase in human SAGA (hSAGA), is required for the activation of many inducible genes. Therefore, following induction by activating stimuli, activators recruit hSAGA to the promoters of RNF-20 repressed genes to remove H2Bub1 and destabilize the nucleosome. This is followed by nucleosome disassembly, which results in "open"/loose chromatin formation. Removal of the stable "impeding" nucleosome allows the progression of paused RNA Pol II into the elongation phase. Adapted from Espinosa (2008).
transcriptional initiation and the proper progression of RNA Pol II (Fig. 4).

Yeast nucleosome is intrinsically less stable than those from other eukaryotes and 85% of the yeast genome is transcribed, unlike only 30% of the genome transcribed in humans. The high level of transcription and intrinsic nucleosome instability might explain the >10% H2B existing as H2Bub1 in yeast as opposed to the 1–2% H2Bub1 in mammals. To explain the mechanism of RNF20-mediated gene repression, it was proposed that the “negative effects” of H2Bub1 acts as a “checkpoint” during the early stages of elongation causing RNA Pol II to pause for the coordination of elongation with RNA processing. Based on our findings, it can be further proposed that this “negative effect”, which includes a compact chromatin formation, is likely due to the nucleosome stabilization by H2Bub1. RNA Pol II pausing is not prevalent in yeast. However, deubiquitination of H2Bub1 by Ubp8 is required for the chromatin association of Ctk1 (a CDK required during the later stages of elongation) (Fig. 4), which demonstrates another regulatory role for H2Bub1 during transcription. Therefore, modulating and coordinating the FACT-mediated chromatin dynamics and regulating the association of factor(s) with chromatin are likely to be the “checkpoint” functions for H2Bub1-mediated nucleosome stability during eukaryotic transcription.

**SETTheing the Stage and Connecting the DOTS:**

H2Bub1-Mediated Nucleosome Stability and the trans-Histone H3 Methylation

Modifications and mutations in the H3 N-terminal residues adjacent to K4 reduce Set1-COMPASS-mediated methylation by affecting the binding of regulatory subunits or by directly affecting the substrate recognition by the SET domain. Alanine-mutagenesis of histones showed that mutations in three H2A residues (E65, N69 and D73) and one H2B residue (R119) affect H3K4 methylation without affecting the steady state H2Bub1 levels. Additionally, we found mutations in two H2B C-terminal helix residues (H2B-R119A and/or H2B-T122D) increase global H2Bub1 levels, but reduce H3K4 methylation without affecting H3K79 methylation. These H2A and H2B residues are all present facing away from the nucleosome core and are easily accessible for interaction (Fig. 2). Therefore, it can be envisaged that, following H2B ubiquitination, these H2A and H2B residues along with the residues in H3 N-terminal tail might constitute a composite interaction surface (“docking-site”) for the association of Set1-COMPASS.
with chromatin. Indeed, we find H2B R119 and T122 are the “docking-site” for Set1-COMPASS on chromatin as they physically interact with Spp1. Moreover, H2B-R119A and/or H2B-T122D also affect both global and chromatin-bound levels of Sdc1, revealing a role for H2B C-terminal helix in controlling the complex integrity. Therefore, it is conceivable that by preventing H2A-H2B eviction and enhancing nucleosome stability, H2Bub1 supports the retention of the composite “docking-site” for Set1-COMPASS on chromatin (Figs. 2 and 5A). Future investigations are needed to address whether the H2A residues affect the binding of Set1-COMPASS subunits. Unlike H3K4 methylation, Dot1-mediated H3K79 methylation is not reduced in H2B-R119A and/or H2B-T122D. How is this uncoupling achieved? First, these residues reside on a helical phase that faces away from H3K79 (Fig. 2). Second, they do not reduce Dot1 binding (Chandrasekharan and Sun, unpublished observation), but instead serve as a distinct “docking-site” for only Set1-COMPASS. Collectively, based on our findings, it can be proposed that H2Bub1-mediated nucleosome stabilization retains the binding site for Set1-COMPASS in the H2B C-terminal helix and supports the stability, integrity and processivity of Set1-COMPASS by affecting the chromatin association of its subunits, at least in part, by directly affecting the binding of Spp1 (Fig. 5A).

Given the proximity between H3K79 and the H2B C-terminal, several possible scenarios can be envisioned as to how H2Bub1-mediated nucleosome stability can support H3K79 methylation by Dot1. One possibility is that, similar to Set1-COMPASS, H2Bub1 might affect the chromatin binding of Dot1. However, this seems unlikely, since the chromatin-binding and H3K79 methylation of Dot1 is controlled in a novel trans-histone pathway by the basic patch in the H4 N-terminal tail and Dot1 binds chromatin normally even in the absence of H2Bub1. Alternatively, H2Bub1 might control the catalytic functions of Dot1 via an allosteric mechanism. This possibility stems from the observation that H2Bub1 reconstituted into chemically defined nucleosome can support the direct stimulation of human hDot1L-mediated H3K79 methylation in vitro. It is conceivable that this allosteric change might be induced by a direct interaction between ubiquitin and hDot1L. However, free ubiquitin provided in trans cannot stimulate hDot1L-mediated H3K79 methylation. Therefore, an attractive alternative possibility is that the nucleosome stabilized by H2Bub1 might directly induce an allosteric change in Dot1 to support its ability to catalyze H3K79me2 and -K79me3 (Fig. 5B). Further studies will uncover the precise mechanism by which H2Bub1 stimulates Dot1 functions.

**Mechanism of Nucleosome Stabilization by Conjugation of Ubiquitin onto H2B**

At present, it is not known how the conjugation of ubiquitin at H2BK123 stabilizes nucleosomes. However, a recent study assessing the ability of hDot1L to function on nucleosomes reconstituted in vitro using chemically synthesized H2Bub1 provides several valuable insights into the mechanism. First and foremost, the anomalous gel migration of the nucleosomes reconstituted in vitro with H2B monoubiquitinated at different lysine residues in its C-terminal helix provides a compelling evidence that the conjugated ubiquitin does affect mononucleosome structure and/or surface charge in a position-dependent manner. Second, the ubiquitin fold per se is not important, but a set of charged residues on ubiquitin is essential for hDot1L function. Moreover, these residues are not the canonical residues involved in the interactions between ubiquitin and ubiquitin-binding proteins. Third, proximity of the conjugated ubiquitin to H2B is also critical, as hDot1L-mediated H3K79 methylation is reduced upon altering the length of glycine linker between ubiquitin and H2B. Collectively, these observations are consistent with the two scenarios that were previously proposed: First, H2Bub1 might stabilize the nucleosome by the interactions of the surface charged residues of ubiquitin with the DNA and/or the histones. Second, conjugation of ubiquitin might indirectly alter the histone-DNA and/or histone-histone interactions, in particular, the interactions of the “unstable” H2A-H2B dimer with the DNA and/or the H3-H4 tetramer core. Further studies are needed to test these possibilities.

**Concluding Remarks**

Recent findings of a role for H2Bub1 in modulating nucleosome stability and chromatin dynamics provide valuable insight to the function of this modification during transcription. Furthermore, the identification of a distinct “docking-site” for Set1-COMPASS on the H2B C-terminal helix sheds light on the mechanism by which H2Bub1-mediated nucleosome stabilization controls H3K4 and -K79 methylation. Collectively, these studies provide a novel working model for the regulation of the trans-histone crosstalk between H2Bub1 and H3K4/-K79 methylation. Eventually, a solved structure of the nucleosome containing H2Bub1 might determine any changes in the intra-nucleosomal interactions that occur upon conjugation of ubiquitin to H2B. Recent advances in synthetic protein chemistry have enabled the production of large amounts of ubiquitinated H2B containing nucleosomes and these approaches hold promise in solving the elusive crystal structure. Nevertheless, future investigations using the in vitro H2Bub1-reconstituted nucleosome combined with the in vivo studies using histone mutants in yeast should uncover the mechanistic details of H2Bub1-mediated nucleosome stabilization and its function in regulating H3 methylation and gene expression.
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Figure 5. Model for the trans-histone crosstalk mechanism between H2Bub1 and H3K4/-K79 methylation. (A) Set1-COMPASS binds to the nucleosome via the interaction of Spp1 with the H2B C-terminal and likely by other hitherto unknown interactions with H2A. In the absence of H2Bub1, the constant H2A-H2B eviction and intrinsic nucleosome instability might disrupt or disassemble the composite “docking-site” for Set1-COMPASS on chromatin, leading to dissociation and/or weakened inter-subunit interactions within the complex and a catalytically-unfavorable conformation for Set1 (dotted lines). Together, these defects adversely affect the processive H3K4 methylation by Set1-COMPASS. In the presence of H2Bub1, H2A-H2B eviction is prevented and the stable nucleosome retains the binding surface for Set1-COMPASS on chromatin. This leads to increased chromatin association, inter-subunit interactions, and overall stability of Set1-COMPASS resulting in high levels of processive methylation. Modified from Chandrasekharan et al.59 (B) Dot1 binds chromatin via the interaction of an acidic patch in its C-terminal region (EDVDE, amino acids 557–561) with a basic patch in H4 (RHR, amino acids 17–19). In the absence of H2Bub1, chromatin-bound Dot1 cannot catalyze H3K79me3 due to an inhibitory conformation (light blue). In the presence of H2Bub1, the stable nucleosome promotes an allosteric change in Dot1 that allows the production of high levels of H3K79me2 and -K79me3. +, low levels of methylation; +++, high levels of methylation; -, absence of methylation. Weak H2A-H2B dimer subjected to eviction, transparent and light colored; stable H2A-H2B dimer, bright colored.


