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An ERK2 docking site in the Pointed domain distinguishes a subset of ETS transcription factors

Jeffrey J. Seidel and Barbara J. Graves

Huntsman Cancer Institute, Department of Oncological Sciences, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah 84112-5550, USA

The ETS transcription factors perform distinct biological functions despite conserving a highly similar DNA-binding domain. One distinguishing property of a subset of ETS proteins is a conserved region of 80 amino acids termed the Pointed (PNT) domain. Using enzyme kinetics we determined that the Ets-1 PNT domain contains an ERK2 docking site. The docking site enhances the efficiency of phosphorylation of a mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) site N-terminal to the PNT domain. The site enhances ERK2 binding rather than catalysis. Three hydrophobic residues are involved in docking, and the previously determined NMR structure indicates that these residues are clustered on the surface of the Ets-1 PNT domain. The docking site function is conserved in the PNT domain of the highly related Ets-2 but not in the ets family member GABPα. Ablation of the docking site in Ets-1 and Ets-2 prevented Ras pathway-mediated enhancement of the transactivation function of these proteins. This study provides structural insight into the function of a MAPK docking site and describes a unique activity for the PNT domain among a subset of ets family members.
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Muise-Helmericks 2000]. For instance, the RAS/RAF/MEK/ERK pathway modulates the activities of vertebrate Ets-1 and Ets-2 and their apparent ortholog in *Drosophila*, Pnt-P2. These proteins are phosphorylated at a conserved mitogen-activated protein kinase [MAPK] phosphoacceptor site N-terminal to the PNT domain [Brunner et al. 1994; O’Neill et al. 1994; Yang et al. 1996]. The MAPKs ERK1 and ERK2 phosphorylate Ets-1 and Ets-2 at this site, and the highly related *Drosophila* MAPK Rolled phosphorylates Pnt-P2. Phosphorylation of this site results in enhancement of the transactivation activity of these proteins by an undetermined mechanism [Brunner et al. 1994; O’Neill et al. 1994; Yang et al. 1996]. The biological relevance of this phosphoacceptor is firmly established by genetic studies in *Drosophila* that show that MAPK phosphorylation of Pnt-P2 is required for R7 photoreceptor development [Brunner et al. 1994; O’Neill et al. 1994].

This report shows that the PNT domain regulates Ets-1 and Ets-2 phosphorylation by serving as an ERK2 docking site. Docking sites are defined as short sequence motifs that lie distal to the phosphoacceptor in the linear amino acid sequence and increase the efficiency of substrate phosphorylation [Holland and Cooper 1999; Sharrocks et al. 2000]. In addition, these motifs can affect the accuracy and specificity of MAPK phosphorylation. Docking sites are thought to function by interacting with MAPKs outside the catalytic site, thereby increasing the concentration of the phosphoacceptor near the enzyme. A number of classes of proteins contain MAPK docking sites, including kinases, phosphatases, scaffold proteins, and transcription factors.

Despite numerous examples, little is known about the structural details of docking sites. To date, docking sites in transcription factors have been identified exclusively by deletion and site-directed mutagenesis of residues that are not defined by secondary or tertiary structural information. Lacking a structural context, these mutagenesis experiments could not identify residues that define the docking interfaces. Additionally, although docking sites often lie distant to the phosphoacceptor in the linear amino acid sequence, the relative positions of these elements in three-dimensional space is not known.

This report provides insights into the structural features of transcription factor docking sites as well as the specificity of ETS protein function. The previously determined NMR structure of the PNT domain allowed us to map a hydrophobic surface on the Ets-1 PNT domain required for efficient phosphorylation by ERK2. Kinetic data indicate that the residues in this surface mediate a binding event rather than an alteration of catalysis. Enzyme kinetics also indicate that the docking site is conserved in the PNT domain of Ets-2 but not in the *ets* family member GABPαs. Functionally, the docking site is required for Ras-pathway-mediated enhancement of the transactivation activity of Ets-1 and Ets-2. In sum, this study reveals the structural context of a MAPK docking site in a transcription factor and highlights ERK2 docking as a distinguishing feature of a subset of PNT domains of *ets* family members.

### Results

**ERK1/2 binds an Ets-1(29–138) affinity column**

The PNT domain is hypothesized to be a protein–protein interaction motif. To identify protein partners, an affinity chromatography experiment was performed using Ets-1(29–138) as a ligand, which includes the PNT domain (residues 54–132) and MAPK phosphoacceptor site (T38). A calf thymus nuclear extract was used as a source of potential partner proteins because of the abundance of Ets-1 in T lymphocytes. In the chromatography experiment, several proteins from the extract bound the Ets-1(29–138) column, and one protein was identified by immunoblotting to be the MAPK ERK1 and/or ERK2 (data not shown). The ERKs may have interacted with Ets-1 solely through the MAPK phosphoacceptor; however, we speculated that a docking site distal to the MAPK phosphorylation site also mediated the interaction.

**The Ets-1 PNT domain contains an ERK2 docking site**

To localize a potential ERK2 docking site in Ets-1, full-length Ets-1 and two fragments of Ets-1 were generated for kinase assays (Fig. 1A). Full-length Ets-1 contains the MAPK phosphoacceptor site [T38], PNT domain, transactivation domain, and DNA-binding ETS domain [Fig. 1A]. The two fragments were designed based on NMR solution structure data [Slupsky et al. 1998]. The Ets-1(1–138) fragment retains the MAPK phosphorylation site that lies within disordered sequences and the globular five α-helix PNT domain (Fig. 1A). The Ets-1(1–52) fragment retains only the MAPK phosphorylation site (Fig. 1A) and presumably lacks secondary structural elements. Each fragment also contains a histidine tag to facilitate purification.

Kinase assays were performed in vitro under steady-state conditions with [γ-32P]ATP, and the initial rates of phosphorylation were fit to the Michaelis–Menten equation [Fig. 1B]. The parameter $K_m$, the apparent equilibrium dissociation constant of a kinase and its substrate, was used to compare the ability of ERK2 to bind ETS fragments. The $K_m$ of the Ets-1(1–138) fragment was nearly identical to that of full-length Ets-1 (6.8 ± 1.8 µM and 5.1 ± 1.2 µM, respectively, Fig. 1C). The fragment was phosphorylated on T38, as evidenced by the lack of phosphorylation of Ets-1(1–138,T38A) under assay conditions (data not shown). Additionally, the histidine tag did not affect the $K_m$ value (data not shown). These data suggest that the first 138 amino acids of Ets-1 are sufficient to achieve full binding activity with ERK2.

In contrast, Ets-1(1–52), which lacks the PNT domain and known secondary structural elements, had a $K_m$ ~30-fold higher (190 ± 35 µM) than that of full-length Ets-1 and Ets-1(1–138) (Fig. 1C). The $K_m$ of this fragment is on the order of magnitude of previously characterized peptide substrates of ERK2 (~300–500 µM, Gonzalez et al. 1991, Robinson et al. 1996). The $K_m$ value showed only a slight twofold decrease, suggesting that removal of the PNT domain did not drastically affect enzyme catalysis. These data support the argument that the PNT domain
contains an ERK2 docking site that enhances the binding affinity of the enzyme–substrate interaction.

**L114, L116, and F120 form a potential docking interface**

To define the docking site, the NMR solution structure of Ets-1\(^{1-138}\) (Slupska et al. 1998) was used to select surface residues of the PNT domain of Ets-1\(^{1-138}\) for site-directed mutagenesis. The residues that were initially targeted were an LXL sequence [L114, L116] and a basic residue [K110] on the surface of helix H4 (Fig. 2A,B). These residues are in a sequence pattern described for other MAPK docking sites (Hollan and Cooper 1999; Sharrocks et al. 2000). Mutation of L114 and L116 to alanines or arginines resulted in a modest fourfold increase in \(K_m\) whereas mutation of K110 to alanine did not result in a significant increase in \(K_m\) (Table 1). These results suggest that the leucines, but not K110, play a role in ERK2 binding. However, the binding activity of these mutants was stronger than that of Ets-1\(^{1-52}\) (Fig. 1C), which lacks the PNT domain, suggesting that other residues of the PNT domain also contribute to ERK2 binding. Mutation to alanine of the residues C112 and E115 (Fig. 2A), which lie near L114 and L116 on the surface of the PNT domain (Fig. 2B), did not alter the \(K_m\) (Table 1). In contrast, mutation of the surface residue F120 (Fig. 2A,B) to alanine resulted in a striking 29-fold increase in \(K_m\) (Table 1). This mutation, when combined with the L114R and L116R mutations, resulted in a fragment with a \(K_m\) 40-fold higher than that of Ets-1\(^{1-138}\) and similar to that of the fragment deleting the PNT domain, Ets-1\(^{1-52}\) (Table 1). These data indicate that L114, L116, and in particular, F120, play an important role in Ets-1 docking with ERK2. The role of the phenylalanine also indicates that the site is distinct from other LXL-containing docking sites.

The location of L114, L116, and F120 on the surface of the Ets-1 PNT domain (Fig. 2B) suggests that these residues form an interface that contacts ERK2. Using partial trypsin proteolysis, we addressed an alternative possibility that single amino acid substitutions could affect docking by disrupting the structure of the PNT domain. Potential trypsin proteolysis sites exist throughout Ets-1\(^{1-138}\) (Fig. 3A). However, the wild-type PNT domain was refractory to cleavage (Fig. 3A), presumably owing to the highly folded structure of the domain (Fig. 2B, Slupska et al. 1998). Thus, mutations that severely disrupt the structure of the PNT domain are predicted to alter the pattern of protease sensitivity. The kinetics and pattern of partial trypsin proteolysis of Ets-1\(^{1-138}\), Ets-1\(^{1-138}\), Ets-1\(^{1-138}\), Ets-1\(^{1-138}\), and Ets-1\(^{1-52}\) (Table 1) were nearly identical to those of Ets-1\(^{1-138}\) (Fig. 3B), suggesting that the mutations did not disrupt the fold or flexibility of the PNT domain. These data, combined with the NMR-based structure and kinase assays, suggest that L114, L116, and F120 comprise an interface that docks with ERK2.

The docking site is conserved in the PNT domain of Ets-2

Ets-1 and Ets-2 are closely related proteins that conserve both the MAPK phosphorylation site and the PNT domain. The amino acid sequences spanning these functional elements are 70% identical, suggesting that Ets-1 and Ets-2 have similar three-dimensional structures in these regions. Notably, the residues comprising the
ERK2 docking site in Ets-1 are conserved in Ets-2 (L148, L150, and F154; Fig. 2A), predicting that the PNT domain of Ets-2 also contains an ERK2 docking site.

To test for a functional ERK2 docking site, kinase assays were performed with a histidine-tagged fragment of Ets-2 encompassing amino acids 1–172 that includes the MAPK site (T72) and PNT domain (Fig. 1A). The $K_m$ and $k_{cat}/K_m$ (a measure of a protein’s ability to serve as a substrate) of this protein (Table 2) were similar to the values for Ets-1 (1–138) (Table 1). Mutation of the proposed docking residues L148 and L150 to arginines resulted in a fivefold increase in $K_m$ (Table 2), akin to the effect of mutating L114 and L116 in Ets-1 (Table 1). Strikingly, mutation of the other proposed docking residue, F154, to alanine caused a 75-fold increase in $K_m$ (Table 2). These values were accompanied by minimal changes in $k_{cat}$, indicating disruption of a binding event. Indistinguishable trypsin proteolysis patterns were observed for Ets-2 (1–172) and Ets-2 (1–172;L148R;L150R;F154A) (data not shown), suggesting that the mutations do not significantly alter the structure of the Ets-2 PNT domain. These data show that the ERK2 docking site is conserved in Ets-2.

The Drosophila protein Pnt-P2 is encoded by a gene that is an apparent ortholog of the genes encoding Ets-1 and Ets-2. Pnt-P2 conserves the Ras/MAPK-targeted phosphoacceptor found N-terminal to the PNT domain in Ets-1 and Ets-2 (Brunner et al. 1994; O’Neill et al. 1994). Additionally, the PNT domain of Pnt-P2 contains
sequence closely resembling the ERK2 docking site of Ets-1 and Ets-2 (L228, I230, and F234; Fig. 2A). It is likely this sequence functions as a docking site for the Drosophila MAPK related to ERK2, Rolled.

The docking site is not conserved in the PNT domain of GABPα

The juxtaposition of the MAPK phosphorylation site and the PNT domain is not conserved in ETS proteins other than vertebrate Ets-1 and Ets-2 and Drosophila Pnt-P2. This suggests that the other PNT domains may not serve as ERK2 docking sites. Consistent with this hypothesis, the residues involved in docking are well-conserved in five vertebrate forms of Ets-1 (rat, mouse, chicken, frog, human) and Ets-2 (mouse, chicken, frog, human, cow) but not in the PNT domains of other ets family members (Fig. 2A). In GABPα, for instance, the two leucines of the docking site in Ets-1 are replaced by a phenylalanine and an arginine, and the loop between helix H4 and helix H5 is shortened and lacks the phenylalanine (Fig. 2A). Therefore, although GABPα is phosphorylated by MAPKs at other sites (Fromm and Burden 2001), we predicted that the PNT domain of GABPα would not contain an ERK2 docking site.

To test this prediction, the N-terminal extension of Ets-1 (residues 1–50) was fused to the PNT domain of GABPα to generate a chimeric substrate (Fig. 1A). In kinetic assays with ERK2, the Ets-1/GABPα chimera had a 56-fold higher $K_m$ (380 ± 81 µM) than that of Ets-1(1–138) (Table 1). Furthermore, $k_{cat}/K_m$ was similar for the chimera (2.1 µM$^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$), Ets-1(1–52), and Ets1(1–138;L114R;L116R;F120A) (Fig. 1C; Table 1). These data indicate that the PNT domain of GABPα does not efficiently dock with ERK2 and supports the hypothesis that the LXLXXXF motif is critical for docking.

### Table 1. Kinetic analysis of Ets-1(1–138)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein [Ets-1(1–138)]</th>
<th>$K_m$ a [µM]</th>
<th>Fold increase in $K_m$ b</th>
<th>$k_{cat}$ a [min$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>$k_{cat}/K_m$ c [µM$^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ets-1(1–138)</td>
<td>6.8 ± 1.8</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1000 ± 86</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C112A</td>
<td>7.3 ± 1.1</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.33</td>
<td>970 ± 47</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E115A</td>
<td>8.6 ± 2.6</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.48</td>
<td>1100 ± 120</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K110A</td>
<td>11 ± 1.6</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.48</td>
<td>1100 ± 60</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L114A;L116A</td>
<td>27 ± 12</td>
<td>4.0 ± 2.1</td>
<td>1100 ± 150</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L114R;L116R</td>
<td>29 ± 8</td>
<td>4.3 ± 1.6</td>
<td>1200 ± 92</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F120A</td>
<td>200 ± 24</td>
<td>29 ± 8</td>
<td>860 ± 43</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L114R;L116R;F120A</td>
<td>270 ± 63</td>
<td>40 ± 14</td>
<td>850 ± 64</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aValue ± S.E. determined by nonlinear least squares fit to the Michaelis–Menten equation.

*bRelative to the $K_m$ of Ets-1[1–138].

*cDetermined by dividing individual values.

dNumber of independent experiments performed in duplicate.

*eSimilar value obtained by Waas and Dalby (2001).

### Table 2. Kinetic analysis of Ets-2(1–172)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein [Ets-2(1–172)]</th>
<th>$K_m$ a [µM]</th>
<th>Fold increase in $K_m$ b</th>
<th>$k_{cat}$ a [min$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>$k_{cat}/K_m$ c [µM$^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ets-2(1–172)</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>420 ± 19</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L114R;L1150R</td>
<td>15 ± 3.1</td>
<td>5.4 ± 1.4</td>
<td>720 ± 46</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F154A</td>
<td>210 ± 37</td>
<td>75 ± 17</td>
<td>560 ± 38</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L114R;L1150R;F154A</td>
<td>270 ± 51</td>
<td>96 ± 23</td>
<td>530 ± 40</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aValue ± S.E. determined by nonlinear least squares fit of data from two independent experiments performed in duplicate to the Michaelis–Menten equation.

*bRelative to the $K_m$ of Ets-2(1–172).

*cDetermined by dividing individual values.

---

**Figure 3.** Trypsin sensitivity of Ets-1(1–138) fragments is unaffected by mutation of the docking site. (A) Schematic of Ets-1(1–138) depicting potential trypsin cleavage sites. Histidine tag (stippled), PNT domain with α-helices (gray with white boxes), potential trypsin cleavage sites (vertical lines). Ets-1(1–138;L114R;L116R;F120A) has two additional potential cleavage sites (L114R and L116R, longer lines). Arrowheads indicate residues, clustered as T1 and T2, that were cleaved by partial proteolysis of Ets-1(1–138) as determined by N-terminal sequencing. (B) Partial trypsin digest of Ets-1 (1–138) (top) and Ets-1(1–138;L114R;L116R;F120A) (bottom). Proteins were incubated with trypsin for indicated times then electrophoresed on an 18% SDS-polyacrylamide gel. Digital image shows Coomassie Blue-stained gels with size standards noted (in kilodaltons). Clusters of cleavage sites (T1 and T2) in A are the proposed N termini of the indicated protein bands.
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To more rigorously establish a role for the LXLXXXF sequence in docking, these residues were introduced into the GABPα PNT domain and tested for ERK2 binding by kinetic analysis. Leucine residues were inserted at the appropriate positions in the predicted helix H4 of the GABPα PNT domain, and a phenylalanine was introduced in the loop between helices H4 and H5 (Fig. 2A). A valine was also introduced immediately C-terminal of the phenylalanine to conserve the spacing of the loop (Fig. 2A). The Ets-1/GABPα chimera with the introduced docking residues had a 2.4-fold lower \( K_m \) (160 ± 35 μM) than the chimera with the wild-type GABPα PNT domain. We conclude that introduction of these residues generated a docking site, albeit compromised relative to the site in Ets-1 and Ets-2. It is possible that the side chains of the introduced docking residues may not be oriented in the GABPα PNT domain in an optimal conformation for ERK2 interaction. Alternatively, additional residues may contribute to full docking site function in Ets-1 and Ets-2.

**The docking site is required for Ras/MAPK-pathway-mediated enhancement of transactivation by Ets-1 and Ets-2**

Phosphorylation of Ets-1 and Ets-2 in vivo by the RAS/RAF/MEK/ERK pathway stimulates transactivation of genes regulated by Ras-responsive elements (RREs) [Yang et al. 1996]. Enhancement of transactivation by Ets-1 and Ets-2 is reduced by ablating the MAPK phosphoacceptor site [Yang et al. 1996]. Therefore, mutations in the docking site that decrease levels of phosphorylation are predicted to reduce Ras/MAPK-pathway-mediated enhancement of the transactivation activity of Ets-1 and Ets-2.

To test the in vivo function of the docking site, a transient expression reporter assay was established in NIH3T3 cells. The reporter was constructed by inserting the RRE from the promotor of the matrix metalloproteinase-9 gene, which has a composite ETS/AP-1 binding site [Watabe et al. 1998], upstream of the firefly luciferase gene. Plasmids encoding full-length Ets-1, Ets-2, or the phosphoacceptor mutants Ets-1\(_{[\text{T}38\text{A}]}\) and Ets-2\(_{[\text{T}72\text{A}]}\) were introduced into cells with or without a plasmid encoding a constitutively active form of MEK1 (CA-MEK1, Mansour et al. 1994). MEK1 specifically phosphorylates and activates ERK1 and ERK2, which are endogenous in NIH3T3 cells, but not other subfamilies of MAPKs [Chang and Karin 2001]. The results for the wild-type and phosphoacceptor mutants are consistent with previous studies (Fig. 4A, B; Yang et al. 1996) that show cooperation between ETS proteins and the Ras signaling pathway in the stimulation of transcription and the requirement of T38 and T72 of Ets-1 and Ets-2, respectively, for enhancement of transactivation.

To assess the role of the docking site in transactivation, constructs expressing docking site mutants of full-length Ets-1 or Ets-2 were used in the transient expression assay. Strikingly, MEK1 stimulation of the transactivation activity of Ets-1\(_{[\text{L}114\text{R},\text{L}116\text{R},\text{F}120\text{A}]}\) and Ets-2 docking site mutants differed slightly in that they showed a graded ability to transactivate the reporter (Fig. 4B). The ability to transactivate was consistent with the graded pattern of increase in \( K_m \) observed for proteins with the same mutations in kinase assays (Fig. 4B, Table 2). A parallel set of experiments performed with FLAG-epitope tagged ETS proteins displayed similar results except that both FLAG-tagged Ets-1 and Ets-2 docking site mutants showed a graded ability to transactivate the reporter (data not shown), similar to untagged Ets-2 mutants (Fig. 4B). Controls showed that protein levels in transfected NIH3T3 cells were similar for wild-type and mutant ETS proteins [Fig. 4A, B]. The simplest interpretation of these data is that mutation of the docking site reduces phosphorylation of Ets-1 and Ets-2 at T38 or T72, respectively, resulting in lower levels of transactivation. We conclude that the docking site plays an important role in Ras pathway-dependent enhancement of transactivation by Ets-1 and Ets-2.

**Discussion**

**Structural and mechanistic insights into ERK2 substrate docking**

This report identifies three hydrophobic residues in the PNT domain that enhance phosphorylation of Ets-1 by ERK2. Several lines of evidence suggest that these residues form a hydrophobic ERK2 interaction surface, despite residing ~80 amino acids C-terminal of the ERK2 phosphoacceptor site. First, in phosphorylation assays with ERK2, mutation of L114, L116, and F120 caused a 40-fold increase in \( K_m \). This increase was not accompanied by large changes in \( k_{cat} \), indicating that the mutations disrupted ERK2 binding rather than catalysis. Second, in the NMR solution structure, L114, L116, and F120 are clustered on the surface of the Ets-1 PNT domain, where they could simultaneously contact ERK2. Third, the triple-point mutant and the PNT-domain-deletion mutant had similar \( k_{cat}/K_m \) values, indicating an essential role for L114, L116, and F120 in ERK2 docking. Finally, Ras/MAPK-mediated enhancement of the transactivation activity of Ets-1 was reduced by mutation of the hydrophobic residues, showing that the docking site functions in vivo within the context of the full-length protein. Docking sites exist in many other proteins; however, this hydrophobic surface of the Ets-1 PNT domain is the first kinase docking interface in a transactivation factor to be defined by high-resolution structural data.

Using the available structures, we sought to model the interaction of dual-phosphorylated ERK2 (Canagarajah et al. 1997) with the phosphoacceptor and docking site of Ets-1 [Slupsky et al. 1998]. Because of the highly flexible nature of the extension containing the phosphoacceptor in Ets-1, one specific interaction could not be modeled. Instead, we estimated the potential surfaces of ERK2 with which the Ets-1 docking site might interact, assuming that the phosphoacceptor and the docking site simul-
Docking site mutants of Ets-1 and Ets-2 affect Ras-pathway-induced transcription. (A) Reporter assay with Ets-1 docking site mutants. NIH3T3 cells were transfected with a firefly luciferase gene reporter under the control of a MMP-9 Ras-responsive element (RRE) (2.5 µg) and the pRL-null internal control plasmid (0.5 µg). As indicated, a plasmid encoding a constitutively active form of MEK1 (CA-MEK1; 0.1 µg) and a plasmid encoding wild-type or mutant Ets-1 (0.1 µg) were co-transfected. Firefly luciferase activity was normalized to Renilla luciferase activity (relative luciferase activity, RLA). Bars depict the mean ± S.D. of a representative experiment with each assay repeated four times with similar results. Inset shows protein expression levels as determined above; dots indicate back-coding wild-type or mutant Ets-2 (0.1 µg). Inset shows protein docking site mutants. Transfection as in A with plasmids encoding wild-type or mutant Ets-2 (0.1 µg). Inset shows protein expression levels as determined above; dots indicate background bands; arrowhead, ETS protein. (Lane 7) Mock-transfected; (lane 8) FLAG-Ets-2; (lane 9) FLAG-Ets-2(T72A); (lane 10) FLAG-Ets-2(F154A); (lane 11) FLAG-Ets-2(F154A); (lane 12) FLAG-Ets-2(F154A).

Figure 4. Docking site mutants of Ets-1 and Ets-2 affect Ras-pathway-induced transcription. (A) Reporter assay with Ets-1 docking site mutants. NIH3T3 cells were transfected with a firefly luciferase gene reporter under the control of a MMP-9 Ras-responsive element (RRE) (2.5 µg) and the pRL-null internal control plasmid (0.5 µg). As indicated, a plasmid encoding a constitutively active form of MEK1 (CA-MEK1; 0.1 µg) and a plasmid encoding wild-type or mutant Ets-1 (0.1 µg) were co-transfected. Firefly luciferase activity was normalized to Renilla luciferase activity (relative luciferase activity, RLA). Bars depict the mean ± S.D. of a representative experiment with each assay performed in duplicate (Ets-1 and mutants thereof transfected). The experiment was repeated four times with similar results. Inset shows protein expression levels as detected by immunoprecipitation from metabolically labeled, transfected cells: (lane 1) mock-transfected; (lane 2) FLAG-Ets-1; (lane 3) FLAG-Ets-1(T38A); (lane 4) FLAG-Ets-1(L114R,L116R,F120A); (lane 5) FLAG-Ets-1(T38A); (lane 6) FLAG-Ets-1(L114R,L116R,F120A). (B) Reporter assay with Ets-2 docking site mutants. Transfection as in A with plasmids encoding wild-type or mutant Ets-2 (0.1 µg). Inset shows protein expression levels as determined above; dots indicate background bands; arrowhead, ETS protein. (Lane 7) Mock-transfected; (lane 8) FLAG-Ets-2; (lane 9) FLAG-Ets-2(T38A); (lane 10) FLAG-Ets-2(L148R,L150R); (lane 11) FLAG-Ets-2(F154A); (lane 12) FLAG-Ets-2(L148R,L150R,F154A).

Kinetics and thermodynamics of docking

Direct binding of the Ets-1 PNT domain to ERK2 was not detected in several protein interaction assays (data not shown). Despite having micromolar $K_m$ values, ERK2 interactions with Ets-1 that precede phosphorylation or occur in the absence of ATP may have high dissociation rate constants, making them difficult to detect by conventional interaction assays. However, the interaction with ERK2 was detected on the affinity column using Ets-1(129–138) as a ligand (data not shown). The high concentration of ligand on the column matrix may have favored detection of this binding event. We do not suspect auxiliary proteins are responsible for the interaction because purified, recombinant ERK2 also bound the column.

Despite an inability to detect direct binding, kinetic assays indicate that the docking site plays an important role in the efficiency of phosphorylation. This observation is supported by examining the thermodynamic contribution of the docking site to binding ERK2 during the phosphorylation reaction. Calculated based on $K_{cat}/K_m$ values, the interaction of ERK2 with the Ets-1(111–138) fragment had a Gibbs standard free energy ($\Delta G^\circ$) of $-7.1$ kcal/mole. In contrast, the $\Delta G^\circ$ of the Ets-1 triple-mutant interaction with ERK2 was $-4.9$ kcal/mole. Thus, the free energy contribution to binding $\Delta G^\circ$ of the docking site was $-2.2$ kcal/mole, a significant portion (~30%) of the overall binding energy, illustrating the importance of the docking site for Ets-1 phosphorylation by ERK2.

Comparisons to other docking sites

The ERK2 docking site in the Ets-1 and Ets-2 PNT domain [LXLXXXF] differs in sequence composition and position with respect to the phosphoacceptor compared with other MAPK docking sites. The critical role of the C-terminal phenylalanine in the Ets-1 and Ets-2 docking site distinguishes it from LXL docking motifs in the bZIP transcription factor c-Jun (Kallunki et al. 1996) and the
ETS proteins Elk-1 and SAP-1 (Yang et al. 1998a,b; Galanis et al. 2001). Additionally, the Ets-1 docking site is approximately 80 residues C-terminal of a single phosphoacceptor, whereas the LXL docking motifs of c-Jun, Elk-1, and SAP-1 lie N-terminal to multiple phosphoacceptors (Kallunki et al. 1996; Yang et al. 1998a,b; Galanis et al. 2001). The Ets-1 and Ets-2 docking site also is positioned differently than the FXF docking motif found in Elk-1 and SAP-1, as this site lies immediately C-terminal of a cluster of phosphoacceptors (Jacobs et al. 1999; Fantz et al. 2001; Galanis et al. 2001). Determination of the structural features of these docking sites would facilitate further functional and mechanistic comparisons.

The solution structure of the LXL-motif-containing ERK2 binding domain in the phosphatase MKP-3 was recently solved (Farooq et al. 2001). There are several differences in the structure and function of this domain compared to the PNT domain. First, the secondary structural elements and overall fold of this domain are distinct from the PNT domain. Second, the residues important for docking lie within different structural elements from those in the PNT domain. Third, the MKP-3 docking site is proposed to interact with ERK2 outside the range of interfaces determined in our Ets-1 modeling experiment. Therefore, the MKP-3 LXL motif appears to function in a structurally and mechanistically distinct fashion from that of the LXL motif in the Ets-1 PNT domain.

MAPK substrate specificity

The docking function of the Ets-1 and Ets-2 PNT domain is expected to play a role in the specificity of MAPK phosphorylation. Besides ERKs, there are two other major classes of MAPKs, the p38 and JNK subfamilies. Preliminary in vitro experiments indicate that Ets-1 is not a substrate for the MAPK p38, but the phosphoacceptor is not T38 [data not shown]. More importantly, mutation of the ERK2 docking site does not affect the efficiency of p38 phosphorylation. A JNK MAPK also phosphorylates Ets-2 at T72 (Smith et al. 2000), however, no kinetic analysis was performed. Further studies are required to understand the role of the docking site in determining the specificity of MAPK phosphorylation of Ets-1 and Ets-2.

Specificity of function among the ets family of transcription factors

Members of the ets family of transcription factors conserve the highly similar DNA-binding ETS domain, yet regulate diverse sets of genes (Graves and Petersen 1998). There are many molecular features that contribute to the unique functions of ETS proteins. For instance, the PNT domain is conserved among ~40% of ETS proteins, but can perform distinct functions in different proteins. The PNT domain has roles in homotypic oligomerization in TEL (Jousset et al. 1997; Kim et al. 2001); heterotypic oligomerization in TEL, Yan, Mae, and Pnt-P2 (Potter et al. 2000; Baker et al. 2001); interaction with the SUMO conjugating enzyme Ubc9 in TEL (Chakrabarti et al. 1999, 2000); and binding transcriptional corepressors in TEL (Fenrick et al. 1999; Wang and Hiebert 2001). This study provides data that indicate a novel role of the PNT domain as an ERK2 docking site. This docking function is apparent in the PNT domains of Ets-1 and Ets-2, and presumably Drosophila Pnt-P2. However, the docking sequences are not conserved in other PNT domains, and the PNT domain of GABPα does not efficiently dock with ERK2 in kinase assays. We speculate that an ERK docking site evolved within an ancestral ortholog of the genes encoding Ets-1, Ets-2, and presumably Drosophila Pnt-P2. This site enhances phosphorylation of the N-terminal phosphoacceptor site, thereby lending a unique function to 2 of 25 ETS proteins in the human genome and 1 of 10 in the Drosophila genome.

The PNT domains of other proteins also are implicated in Ras/MAPK signaling. The PNT domain of the
**Materials and methods**

**Plasmid construction**

Bacterial expression plasmids encoding histidine-tagged murine Ets-1(1-138) [described previously by Slupsky et al. 1998], Ets-1(1-65), Ets-2(1-172), and Ets-1(1-50)/CABP6(167-254) were generated by PCR-amplification of the appropriate cDNA and subcloning into the Ndel and HindIII sites of pET28(a+) [Novagen]. The mammalian expression plasmid pEVRFO-Ets-1 was described previously [Nelsen et al. 1993]. The mammalian expression plasmid encoding Ets-2 was generated by subcloning the murine ets-2 open reading frame (ORF) into the BamHI site of the pEVRFO plasmid [Matthias et al. 1989]. Site-directed mutagenesis was conducted using the QuikChange Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Stratagene). Mammalian expression plasmids encoding FLAG-epitope-tagged ETS proteins were generated by PCR-amplification of the ORFs of pEVRFO plasmids encoding wild-type or mutant Ets-1 and Ets-2 followed by subcloning into the PstI and Apal sites of pCMV-Tag2a [Stratagene]. The luciferase reporter was constructed by inserting the Ras-responsive element spanning −527 to −544 of the matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)-9 gene [Watabe et al. 1998] into the MulI and Nhel sites of the pG33-basic plasmid [Promega] containing 72 bp of the rat prolactin minimal promoter [Ingraham et al. 1988].

**Expression and purification of ETS proteins**

Full-length murine Ets-1 was expressed and purified as previously described [Cowley and Graves 2000] with the addition of a final purification step. Following S-Sepharose chromatography, Ets-1 was dialyzed in Buffer A (25 mM Tris-HCl at pH 7.9, 10% [v/v] glycerol, 0.1 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid [EDTA], 150 mM KCl, and 1 mM dithiothreitol [DTT]), and purified on a Sephacryl-200 size exclusion column (Amersham-Pharmacia) in the same buffer. Recombinant histidine-tagged ETS proteins were expressed in *E. coli* with the mammalian expression plasmid pEVRFO-Ets-1 was described previously [Nelsen et al. 1993]. The mammalian expression plasmid pEVRFO-Ets-1 was described previously [Nelsen et al. 1993]. Site-directed mutagenesis was conducted using the QuikChange Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Stratagene). Mammalian expression plasmids encoding FLAG-epitope-tagged ETS proteins were generated by PCR-amplification of the ORFs of pEVRFO plasmids encoding wild-type or mutant Ets-1 and Ets-2 followed by subcloning into the PstI and Apal sites of pCMV-Tag2a [Stratagene]. The luciferase reporter was constructed by inserting the Ras-responsive element spanning −527 to −544 of the matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)-9 gene [Watabe et al. 1998] into the MulI and Nhel sites of the pG33-basic plasmid [Promega] containing 72 bp of the rat prolactin minimal promoter [Ingraham et al. 1988].

**Expression and purification of activated ERK2**

Kinase reactions were performed in buffer containing 25 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.9], 87.5 mM KCl, 5% glycerol, 10 mM magnesium acetate, 0.5 mg/ml bovine serum albumin, 10 mM DTT, 2 mM ATP [Amersham-Pharmacia], 25 mM/mole [γ-32P]ATP [JCN], and 0.1 mM ERK2 in a total volume of 20 mL. ATP was in excess [>10× the estimated K_{cat}]. Reactions were performed at 30°C and were initiated by the addition of ATP. After 15 min, reactions were stopped by the addition of 3× SDS sample buffer and electrophoresed on an SDS-polyacrylamide gel. Gels were stained with Coomassie Blue, dried on Whatman paper, and exposed to a phosphor screen. Radioactivity was detected by PhosphorImaging [Storm 860, Molecular Dynamics] and quantified relative to an ATP standard curve using ImageQuant software [v. 5.0, Molecular Dynamics]. Less than 15% of ETS protein was phosphorylated, and phosphate incorporation was linear during the experiment. Initial reaction velocities (v) were determined by dividing product concentration by the time of the reaction. K_m, the Michaelis constant, and K_{cat}, the turnover number, were determined by fitting v/[E]_0, where [E]_0 is the total ERK2 concentration from two to five independent experiments performed in duplicate to the Michaelis–Menten equation (v/ [E]_0 = K_{cat}/[E]_0 + [S]), where [S] is the ETS protein concentration, by nonlinear least squares analysis [Kaleidogarph v. 3.0].

**Transient transfection and luciferase assay**

NIH3T3 cells [generous gift of Peggy Farnham, University of Wisconsin, Madison] were grown in DMEM [Dulbecco modified Eagle medium] with 10% bovine calf serum [BCS]. Cells (1.5 × 10^5) were plated in 35-mm tissue culture dishes and were transfected with calcium phosphate precipitates of plasmids encoding wild-type or mutant versions of Ets-1 and Ets-2, a plasmid encoding a constitutively active version of MEK1 ([ΔN35,S218E,S222D] Mansour et al. 1994), an internal control plasmid pRL-null [Promega], and the MMP-9 luciferase reporter. Twenty to twenty-four hours after transfection the media was changed to DMEM containing 0.5% BCS and the cells were incubated for an additional 20–24 h. Firefly and Renilla luciferase activities were measured using the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System [Promega] on a Dynex Technologies, MLX Microtiter Plate Lumimeter. Firefly luciferase activity was normalized to Renilla luciferase activity. Each transfection was performed in duplicate and the relative luciferase activity (RLA) was reported. The experiment was performed twice with ETS.
protein lacking FLAG tags and twice with FLAG-tagged ETS proteins; presence of the FLAG tag did not significantly affect results.

To detect expression of ETS proteins, 5 × 10⁴ NIH3T3 cells plated in 60-mm tissue culture dishes were transfected with calcium phosphate precipitates of 20 µg of expression plasmid encoding wild-type or mutant FLAG-epitope-tagged ETS protein. Twenty hours following transfection, cells were metabolically labeled for 15 h in 1.5 mL of DMEM (minus methionine) containing 0.5% BCS, 2 mM glutamine, and 150 µCi of [35S]methionine (Amersham Pharmacia). Cells were lysed in 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, and the complete, miniprotease inhibitor cocktail [Roche]. FLAG-tagged ETS proteins were immunoprecipitated with ANTI-FLAG M2 Affinity Gel [Sigma] in Tris-buffered saline. Proteins were eluted by the addition of 3× SDS sample buffer and heating at >95°C for 10 min then electrophoresed on a 10% SDS-polyacrylamide gel. Radioactive proteins on dried gels were detected by PhosphorImaging and quantified relative to background bands using ImageQuant software.

**Partial trypsin proteolysis sensitivity assays**

Ets-1[1–158] or Ets-1[1–158, L114R, L115R, F120A] [110 µg each] was incubated with 5.5 µg of trypsin in a total reaction volume of 55 µL at 22.5°C. Aliquots of 5 µL (10 µg of total ETS protein) were taken at various time intervals, added to 3× SDS sample buffer, and heated at >95°C for 5 min to stop the proteolysis reaction. Proteolyzed fragments were electrophoresed on an 18% SDS-polyacrylamide gel. Radioactive proteins on dried gels were detected by PhosphorImaging and quantified relative to background bands using ImageQuant software.
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